

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW

Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

#### **Project Information**

<b>Project Name:</b> Aromas-Curb,-Gutter,-&-Sidewalk-Construction
HEROS Number: 900000010280420
Tienes Namber 500000010200120
Responsible Entity (RE): MONTEREY COUNTY, CA, 1441 Schilling Place - 2nd Floor South Salinas CA, 93901
State / Local Identifier:
RE Preparer: Dawn M Yonemitsu
Certifying Office Craig Spencer r:
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):
Point of Contact:
Consultant (if applicabl e):
Point of Contact:

#### **Additional Location Information:**

Project Location: 365 Vega Road, Aromas, CA 95004

The Area of Potential Effect is the Community of Aromas, roughly bounded by Carpenteria Road between Aromas Road and Blohm Avenue. The APE is approximately 19.5 acres and is all entirely within Monterey County. The validated address is for Aromas School.

#### **Direct Comments to:**

#### Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The proposed project is to construct sidewalks and walkway curbs, gutters, ADA access ramps, and pavement rehabilitation in the community. The initial focus of Community Development Block Grant funded improvements will be on the westerly side of Carpenteria Road between Aromas Road and Blohm Avenue. Approximately up to \$150,000, will fund construction of approximately 1,200 linear feet of improvements. If additional funds become available before 2024, additional segments of sidewalk and ADA ramps may be constructed. 
Construction of the sidewalks, curb gutter, driveway approaches, and ADA access ramps will require disturbance of approximately 12 inches below existing grades. Construction of these improvements within the public right-of-way may be the first time these soils have been disturbed in the last 30-years. The County Public Works, Facilities, and Parks Department may also undertake, with non-CDBG funds, selected asphalt rehabilitation that may require disturbing up to 6 inches below the existing grade. Community Setting The unincorporated community of Aromas is in north Monterey County approximately 18 miles north of Salinas and 7 miles east of Pajaro. The community is home to a total population of 2,708. The community institutions are: the Aromas Community Park located at the corner of Aromas Road and Carpenteria Road; the Post Office, also located on Blohm Ave.; Aromas Tri-County Fire Protection District; Aromas Bible Church, located on Carpentieria; and, Aromas Elementary School located on Carpenteria Road.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:  $\underline{Aromas\ APE.pdf}$ 

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:

#### **Determination:**

	This categorically excluded activity/project converts to <b>EXEMPT</b> per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part</b> for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
<b>√</b>	This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b> and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR

This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

# **Approval Documents:**

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

# **Funding Information**

Grant / Project	HUD Program	Program Name
Identification		
Number		
B-22-UC-06-0011	Community Planning and	Community Development Block Grants
	Development (CPD)	(CDBG) (Entitlement)

Estimated Total HUD Funded,

\$150,000.00

**Assisted or Insured Amount:** 

**Estimated Total Project Cost:** \$500,000.00

#### Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)		
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6				
Airport Hazards	Airport Hazards ☐ Yes ☑ No The project site is not within 15,000 for			
Clear Zones and Accident Potential		of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a		
Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D		civilian airport. The project is in		
		compliance with Airport Hazards		
		requirements. Hollister Municipal		

Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	Airport, a general aviation airport located in Hollister, CA is approximately 23 miles east and Watsonville Muni Airport, a general aviation airport located in Watsonville, CA is approximately 12 miles west of the community of Aromas.  This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORI	DERS, AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Per the EPA Greenbook, Monterey County has no Federal criteria pollutants classified as Nonattainment. Verified by EPA Greenbook "Currently Designated Nonattainment Areas for all Criteria Pollutants at https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/green book/ancl.html#CALIFORNIA (Accessed 4/6/2023)
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Utilized Parcel

		Report to verify Aromas not in a coastal
		zone.
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances		follows: None of the above. On-site or
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
		substances that could affect the health
		and safety of project occupants or
		conflict with the intended use of the
		property were not found. The project is
		in compliance with contamination and
		toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed
_ ·	LI TES LE INO	1
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		species because there are no listed
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		species or designated critical habitats in
402		the action area. This project is in
		compliance with the Endangered
		Species Act. An on-site biological
		survey has not been prepared for the
		APE. While listed species may transit the
		area, the developed nature of the
		Aromas community makes it an
		unsuitable habitat for these species.
		The USFW Species List identified 11
		threatened, endangered, or candidate
		species that may be found with the APE.
		The Species List was generated through
		https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov. The
		USFW Critical Habitat map indicates no
		critical habitat on or near the Project
		Site (downloaded from
		https://fws.maps.arcgis.com/home/web
		map/viewer.html?webmap=9d8de5e26
		5ad4fe09893cf75b8dbfb77 on
		9/14/2022).
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		project includes no activities that would
51 Subpart C		require further evaluation under this
		section. The project is in compliance
		with explosive and flammable hazard
		requirements. Construction of curbs,
		gutters, ADA access ramps, sidewalks,
		and driveways within the area of
		potential effect will not result in
		increased residential density or results
		or casea restactition activity of results

		in announties being somewhalts
		in properties being converted to
Formula de Destantia a		residential uses.
Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		activities that could potentially convert
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		agricultural land to a non-agricultural
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		use. The project is in compliance with
		the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		Although the site contains a listed soil,
		the site is located in an area committed
		to Urban uses and is therefore exempt.
		Verified through Web Soil Survey
		downloaded from the USDA, NRCS
		website at
		https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/ap
		p/WebSoilSurvey.aspx (accessed 9-14-
		2022)
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not occur in a
Executive Order 11988, particularly		floodplain. The project is in compliance
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		with Executive Order 11988. The
		presence, or lack of, floodplains in the
		APE was verified through the FEMA
		Flood Map Service Center
		(https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search)
		on 9/14/2022. The community of
		Aromas is found on panel
		06053C0044G, effective 4/2/2009 and is
		in an Area of Minimal Flood Hazard,
		Zone X). A copy of the FEMA FIRMette is
		attached.
Historic Preservation	☑ Yes ☐ No	Based on Section 106 consultation the
National Historic Preservation Act of		project will have No Adverse Effect on
1966, particularly sections 106 and		historic properties. Conditions: Other.
110; 36 CFR Part 800		Upon satisfactory implementation of
		the conditions, which should be
		monitored, the project is in compliance
Notes About the London		with Section 106.
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑ No	The Preliminary Screening identified no
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		noise generators in the vicinity of the
amended by the Quiet Communities		project. The project is in compliance
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		with HUD's Noise regulation. Based on
В		the project description, this project
		includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise
		regulation. When complete, the project,
		will not create new noise sensitive uses.

Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The closest Sole Source Aquifer is the Santa Margarita Aquifer located approximately 30 miles to the northwest.
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	□ Yes ☑ No	The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The closest emergent wetland is approximately .15 miles north of the project and will not be impacted by the project. Proximity to wetlands was verified through the US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory (https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/) accessed 9/14/2022.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The nearest designated river is the Big Sur. The Big Sur River and its watershed are on the western flanks of the Santa Lucia mountain range, approximately 46 miles southwest of the project location.
HUD HO	DUSING ENVIRONMEN	NTAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL.	JUSTICE
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	☐ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. The proposed sidewalks and walkway curbs, gutters, ADA access ramps, and pavement rehabilitation construction project has no aggregate environmental justice issues identified by EPA EJSCREEN Report (Version 2.0) or this NEPA review. EJ data was collected for the Area of Potential Effect, at 0.5-, 0.75-, and 1-mile radii. The isolated, rural nature of the APE is demonstrated

by the values for Population & Sources and Socioeconomic Indicators at the three distances are the same. The APE scores lower on 11 of the 12 pollution and sources indicators than the state, EPA Region, and nation. The remaining pollution and sources indicator is above those found at the state, EPA Region, or national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen	
three distances are the same. The APE scores lower on 11 of the 12 pollution and sources indicators than the state, EPA Region, and nation. The remaining pollution and sources indicator is above those found at the state, EPA Region, or national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	by the values for Population & Sources
scores lower on 11 of the 12 pollution and sources indicators than the state, EPA Region, and nation. The remaining pollution and sources indicator is above those found at the state, EPA Region, or national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	and Socioeconomic Indicators at the
and sources indicators than the state, EPA Region, and nation. The remaining pollution and sources indicator is above those found at the state, EPA Region, or national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	three distances are the same. The APE
EPA Region, and nation. The remaining pollution and sources indicator is above those found at the state, EPA Region, or national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	scores lower on 11 of the 12 pollution
pollution and sources indicator is above those found at the state, EPA Region, or national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	and sources indicators than the state,
those found at the state, EPA Region, or national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	EPA Region, and nation. The remaining
national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	pollution and sources indicator is above
socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	those found at the state, EPA Region, or
population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	national levels. With respect to
percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	socioeconomic indicators, the APE's
age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	population is composed of a greater
national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	percentage of people over 64 years of
will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	age than the state, EPA Region, or
justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	national levels. The proposed project
construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	will not make existing environmental
improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	justice factors worse. In fact,
environmental justice conditions related to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	construction of the proposed
to the respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	improvements may improve
reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	environmental justice conditions related
EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from	to the respiratory hazard index by
September 26, 2022 from	reducing local sources of dust. The
	EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded
https://www.ena.gov/eiscreen	September 26, 2022 from
intips.//www.epa.gov/ejscreen.	https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen.

# Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or	Comments on	Mitigation	Complete
Authority,	Condition	Completed	Plan	
or Factor		Measures		
Historic	If archeological or cultural	N/A	Stop work	
Preservation	resources are discovered, all		within 50	
	work will stop until a qualified		meters of	
	archeologist can evaluate the		find and	
	resource and		consult	
	develop/implement a plan to		qualified	
	preserve or document the		archeologists	
	resources.		and/or	

Aromas-Curb,-Gutter,-&-Sidewalk-Construction	Aromas, CA	90000010280420
		County Coroner as appropriate.

#### **Project Mitigation Plan**

In the event archeological resources are encountered during ground disturbing activities, contractor shall temporarily halt or divert excavations within 50 meters of the find until it can be evaluated. All potentially significant deposits shall be evaluated to demonstrate whether the resource is eligible for inclusion on the California Register of Historic Resources. If deposits are encountered, they will be evaluated and mitigated simultaneously in the timeliest manner practicable, allowing for recovery of materials and data by standard archaeological procedures. For prehistoric archaeological sites, this data recovery involves the hand excavated recovery and nondestructive analysis of a small sample of the deposit. Resources shall also be sampled through hand excavation, through architectural features may require careful mechanical exposure and hand excavation.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

### **APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

# **Airport Hazards**

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. Hollister Municipal Airport, a general aviation airport located in Hollister, CA is approximately 23 miles east and Watsonville Muni Airport, a general aviation airport located in Watsonville, CA is approximately 12 miles west of the community of Aromas.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Hollister Municipal Airport to Aromas Trucking - Google Maps.pdf Aromas to Watsonville Airport - 12 miles.JPG Aromas to Hollister Airport - 23 miles.JPG

			• • • • •	
Are termal	compliance s	STANS AT N	nitiaation i	raaiiirad t
AIC IUIIIIai	combinance s	DIEDO DI II	ınınganıdır	cuun cu:

Yes

### **Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Flood Insurance**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

- 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>
  - ✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

√ No

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

Flood Zone.JPG

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Air Quality**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Per the EPA Greenbook, Monterey County has no Federal criteria pollutants classified as Nonattainment. Verified by EPA Greenbook "Currently Designated Nonattainment Areas for all Criteria Pollutants at https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/ancl.html#CALIFORNIA (Accessed 4/6/2023)

# **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Coastal Zone Management Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

# 1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Utilized Parcel Report to verify Aromas not in a coastal zone.

#### **Supporting documentation**

MontereyCounty Coastal Zone.pdf Aromas ParcelReport.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

#### **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)

**ASTM Phase II ESA** 

Remediation or clean-up plan

**ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening** 

- ✓ None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)
- ✓ No

#### Explain:

A Phase I was not conducted.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of

project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

# **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Endangered Species**

Sidewalk-Construction

Aromas-Curb,-Gutter,-&-

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

#### Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or 1. habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

#### 2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

> Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.

Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project will have No Effect on listed species because there are no listed species or designated critical habitats in the action area. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. An on-site biological survey has not been prepared for the APE. While listed species may transit the area, the developed nature of the Aromas community makes it an unsuitable habitat for these species. The USFW Species List identified 11 threatened, endangered, or candidate species that may be found with the APE. The Species List was generated through https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov. The USFW Critical Habitat map indicates no critical habitat on or near the Project Site (downloaded from

https://fws.maps.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=9d8de5e265ad4fe09893cf75b8dbfb77 on 9/14/2022).

#### Supporting documentation

<u>Critical Habitat for Threatened and Endangered Species.pdf</u> <u>Endangered Species.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Explosive and Flammable Hazards**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Ves

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No
•	NO

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. Construction of curbs, gutters, ADA access ramps, sidewalks, and driveways within the area of potential effect will not result in increased residential density or results in properties being converted to residential uses.

#### Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. Although the site contains a listed soil, the site is located in an area committed to Urban uses and is therefore exempt. Verified through Web Soil Survey downloaded from the USDA, NRCS website at https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx (accessed 9-14-2022)

#### **Supporting documentation**

#### Aromas Soil Survey Report.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No.

# Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

# 1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

#### 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

#### Flood Zone(2).JPG

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

## Does your project occur in a floodplain?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. The presence, or lack of, floodplains in the APE was verified through the FEMA Flood Map Service Center (https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search) on 9/14/2022. The community of Aromas is found on panel 06053C0044G, effective 4/2/2009 and is in an Area of Minimal Flood Hazard, Zone X). A copy of the FEMA FIRMette is attached.

#### **Supporting documentation**

#### Flood Zone(1).JPG

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Historic Preservation**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

#### **Threshold**

#### Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

# Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Response Period Elapsed
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
  - ✓ Amah Mutsun Tribal Band

Response Period Elapsed

Aromas-Curb,-Gutter,-&-	Aro
Sidewalk-Construction	

Aromas, CA

90000010280420

✓ Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of San Juan	Response Period Elapsed
Bautista	
✓ Costanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe	Response Period Elapsed
✓ Esselen Tribe of Monterey County -	Response Period Elapsed
Morley	
✓ Esselen Tribe of Monterey County -	Response Period Elapsed
Nason	
✓ Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of	Response Period Elapsed
Costanoan	
✓ Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of	Response Period Elapsed
Costanoan - Sayers	
✓ Ohlone Constanoan Esselen Nation -	Response Period Elapsed
Arias	
✓ Ohlone Constanoan Esselen Nation -	Response Period Elapsed
Miranda-Ramirez	
✓ Rumsen Am a Tur ataj Ohlone	Response Period Elapsed
✓ Wukasche Indian Tribe - Eshom Valley	Response Period Elapsed
Band	

Other Consulting Parties

# Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

The California Native American Heritage Commission was contacted for a sacred lands records search and to obtain a list of Native American Tribes that may have knowledge of cultural resources in the project area.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

#### Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or

#### uploading a map depicting the APE below:

Unincorporated Aromas, CA roughly bounded by Aromas Road to the North; Blohm Ave to the East; and Marcus St to the left. To the right is a field and then Marshall Ln to the South.

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

#### **Additional Notes:**

2.	Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the
	project?

Yes

✓ No.

#### Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

✓ No Adverse Effect

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Document reason for finding:**

There are no documented archeological or cultural resources within the APE.

#### Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

✓ Yes (check all that apply)

Avoidance

Modification of project

✓ Other

#### Describe conditions here:

If archeological or cultural resources are discovered, all work will stop until a qualified archeologist can evaluate the resource and develop/implement a plan to preserve or document the resources.

No

Adverse Effect

#### **Screen Summary**

# **Compliance Determination**

Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Conditions: Other. Upon satisfactory implementation of the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance with Section 106.

#### Supporting documentation

Northwest Information Center Letter and Attachments.pdf
Native American Package.pdf
CHRIS - nwic 22-0684 Consultation.pdf

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

#### **Noise Abatement and Control**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

- 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:
- ✓ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

✓ There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map showing the location of the project relative to any noise generators below.

Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

The Preliminary Screening identified no noise generators in the vicinity of the project. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. When complete, the project, will not create new noise sensitive uses.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Sole Source Aquifers**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

< No

✓

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

3. Does your region have a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other working agreement with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for HUD projects impacting a sole source aquifer?

Yes

No

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The closest Sole Source Aquifer is the Santa Margarita Aquifer located approximately 30 miles to the northwest.

### **Supporting documentation**

Aquifer Closest to Aromas.JPG

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Wetlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

✓ Yes

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

#### **Screen Summary**

# **Compliance Determination**

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The closest emergent wetland is approximately .15 miles north of the project and will not be impacted by the project. Proximity to wetlands was verified through the US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory (https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/) accessed 9/14/2022.

#### **Supporting documentation**

#### Aromas Wetland.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

### Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

#### 1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The nearest designated river is the Big Sur. The Big Sur River and its watershed are on the western flanks of the Santa Lucia mountain range, approximately 46 miles southwest of the project location.

#### **Supporting documentation**

### Wild and Scenic River - Big Sur.JPG

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Environmental Justice**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. The proposed sidewalks and walkway curbs, gutters, ADA access ramps, and pavement rehabilitation construction project has no aggregate environmental justice issues identified by EPA EJSCREEN Report (Version 2.0) or this NEPA review. EJ data was collected for the Area of Potential Effect, at 0.5-, 0.75-, and 1-mile radii. The isolated, rural nature of the APE is demonstrated by the values for Population & Sources and Socioeconomic Indicators at the three distances are the same. The APE scores lower on 11 of the 12 pollution and sources indicators than the state, EPA Region, and nation. The remaining pollution and sources indicator is above those found at the state, EPA Region, or national levels. With respect to socioeconomic indicators, the APE's population is composed of a greater percentage of people over 64 years of age than the state, EPA Region, or national levels. The proposed project will not make existing environmental justice factors worse. In fact, construction of the proposed improvements may improve environmental justice conditions related to the

Aromas-Curb,-Gutter,-&-Sidewalk-Construction

respiratory hazard index by reducing local sources of dust. The EPA EJScreen Report was downloaded September 26, 2022 from https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen.

#### **Supporting documentation**

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FACTORS.pdf

EJScreen ACS Summary Report.pdf

EJScreen Report - 1 Mile.pdf

EJScreen Report - Three Quarter Mile.pdf

EJScreen Report - Half Mile.pdf

EJScreen Report Input Area.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes