

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard H Rosenthal [mailto:rrosenthal62@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2008 12:28 PM
To: Holm, Carl P. x5103
Subject: Fw: Consent Item: Interim Ordinance 5080, 5085, 5090

Richard H. Rosenthal
 Law Offices Richard H. Rosenthal
 A Professional Corporation

--- On **Mon, 10/20/08, Richard H Rosenthal <rrosenthal62@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:
 From: Richard H Rosenthal <rrosenthal62@sbcglobal.net>
 Subject: Consent Item: Interim Ordinance 5080, 5085, 5090
 To: "Richard H. Rosenthal" <rrosenthal62@sbcglobal.net>
 Cc: "Mike Novo" <novom@co.monterey.ca.us>, "Mike Stamp" <stamp@stamplaw.us>, "Jan Mitchell" <janmitchell77@hughes.net>
 Date: Monday, October 20, 2008, 12:27 PM

Dear Mike: I have reviewed the staff report on this matter and find it out of touch with the realities of what is happening on the ground.

The County does not have any water, traffic is at grid lock, and the General Plan update process is ready to break wide open. Anybody that is betting that a General Plan that includes special land use designations for certain properties, the removal of traffic triggering mechanisms in Carmel Valley, and the notion that traffic should now be measured on a 24 hour cycle, instead of peak times doesn't understand what the voters have told County officials over the last 8 years. The new General Plan also provides meaningless and ambiguous policies dealing with traffic infrastructure and build out. My reading of the General Plan is vacant of any attempt to correlate the land use element with the circulation element. Why does the County continue to give residents a deaf ear.

The Interim ordinance should be renewed and the general plan modified to take into the concerns of the citizens of the County.

THank you,

RHE

Regards,

RHR

Richard H. Rosenthal
 Law Offices Richard H. Rosenthal
 A Professional Corporation

1

2