

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	S-1
1.0	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background and Purpose.....	1-1
1.2	Type of Document.....	1-2
1.3	Intended Uses of this EIR.....	1-2
1.4	Environmental Review Process.....	1-2
1.5	Scope and Organization.....	1-5
1.6	Impact Terminology.....	1-9
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
2.1	Project Overview.....	2-1
2.2	Project Site Conditions.....	2-2
2.3	Project Characteristics.....	2-9
2.4	Project Objectives.....	2-37
2.5	Requested Actions and Required Approvals.....	2-38
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
3.1	Aesthetics and Visual Sensitivity.....	3.1-1
3.2	Air Quality.....	3.2-1
3.3	Biological Resources.....	3.3-1
3.4	Cultural Resources.....	3.4-1
3.5	Geology and Soils.....	3.5-1
3.6	Groundwater Resources and Hydrogeology.....	3.6-1
3.7	Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality.....	3.7-1
3.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	3.8-1
3.9	Land Use, Population, and Housing.....	3.9-1
3.10	Public Services and Utilities.....	3.10-1
3.11	Noise.....	3.11-1
3.12	Transportation and Circulation.....	3.12-1
3.13	Greenhouse Gas and Climate Change.....	3.13-1
4.0	ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT	
4.1	General CEQA Requirements.....	4-1
4.2	Relationship to Project Objectives.....	4-1
4.3	Project Alternatives Considered.....	4-2
4.4	Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	4-41
5.0	CUMULATIVE IMPACT SUMMARY	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5.1	Analysis Requirement.....	5-1
5.2	Cumulative Impact Analysis and Assumptions	5-3
5.3	Discussion of Cumulative Impacts	5-7
6.0	OTHER SECTIONS REQUIRED BY CEQA	
6.1	Irreversible Environmental Changes.....	6-1
6.2	Growth Inducing Impacts	6-3
6.3	Significant Unavoidable Environmental Effects.....	6-4
6.4	Effects Found Not to be Significant	6-5
7.0	REPORT PREPARERS AND REFERENCES	
7.1	Preparers of the Environmental Impact Report	7-1
7.2	References / Documentation	7-3

APPENDICES (ON CD ONLY)

Appendix A - Notice of Preparation for EIR and Comment Letters

Appendix B - Air Quality

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG).
Consistency letter. November 16, 2006.

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG).
Consistency letter. August 20, 2009

Ambient Air Quality and Noise Consulting. *Air Quality Impact Assessment*. February 6, 2009

PMC. *Water, Wastewater, Solid Waste Emission Summary*. May 22, 2012 (CalEEMod. 2011.1.1)

PMC. *Construction Generated GHG Emissions*. May 2012 (URBEMIS 2007v.9.2.4)

Appendix C - Biological Resources

Denise Duffy & Associates. *California Red-Legged Frog Protocol-level Survey Results for the Ferrini Ranch Development Area*. September 2008.

Denise Duffy & Associates. *Protocol California Tiger Salamander Survey Results for the Ferrini Ranch Development Area*. September 2008.

Denise Duffy & Associates. *Protocol-level Burrowing Owl Survey Report*. March 2008.

PMC. *Peer Review of the Biological Assessment*. February 21, 2007.

PMC. *Peer Review of the Biological Assessment*. March 1, 2008.

PMC. *Peer Review of the Delineation of Potential Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act*. March 23, 2007.

PMC. *Peer Review of the Forest Management Plan*. December 5, 2006.

Staub Forestry and Environmental Consulting. *Forest Management Plan for Ferrini Ranch*. September 27, 2006.

WRA Environmental Consultants. *Biological Assessment*. December 15, 2006.

WRA Environmental Consultants. *Biological Assessment*. December 2007.

WRA Environmental Consultants. *Delineation of Potential Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act*. January 2007.

WRA Environmental Consultants. *Technical Memorandum regarding Wildlife Corridor*. December 10, 2008.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WRA Environmental Consultants. *Technical Memorandum - Genetic Testing of CTS at Ferrini Ranch: Mitigation Measure Implications*. January 27, 2009.

WRA Environmental Consultants. *Proposed Biological Resources Impact and Mitigation Measures*. January 27, 2009.

Appendix D - Cultural Resources

JRP Consulting. *Phase One Assessment – Ferrini Ranch, 715 Monterey Salinas Highway, Salinas, CA 93908*. August 25, 2008.

PMC. *Peer Review of Cultural Resources Investigations for the Ferrini Ranch Subdivision*. 2007

Archaeological Consulting. *Cultural Resources Mitigation and Monitoring Plan for the Proposed Ferrini Ranch Development, South of Salinas, Monterey County, California*. November 2007.

PMC. *Peer Review of Cultural Resources Investigations for the Ferrini Ranch Subdivision*. December 5, 2006.

Archaeological Consulting. *Project Review and Evaluation for the Proposed Ferrini Ranch Development, South of Salinas, Monterey County, California*. July 2006.

Appendix E - Geology and Soils; Groundwater Resources and Hydrology; and Hazardous Materials

Kleinfelder, Inc. *Hydrogeologic Update Memorandum*. June 12, 2012.

Kleinfelder, Inc. *Preliminary Geologic, Geotechnical, Hydrogeologic, Erosion, Drainage and Environmental Phase I Assessment Proposed Ferrini Ranch Subdivision Monterey County, California*. July 14, 2008.

Soil Surveys, Inc. *Geotechnical Investigation Report*. December 31, 2007.

Whitson Engineering. *Preliminary Drainage Report for Ferrini Ranch Development*. February 11, 2010, revised August 11, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix F - Noise

Ambient Air Quality and Noise Consulting. *Noise Impact Assessment*.
February 11, 2009

Appendix G - Transportation and Circulation

Higgins Associates (now Hatch Mott MacDonald). *Traffic Impact Analysis*. October 2010.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

2-1	Regional Location.....	2-5
2-2	Vicinity Map	2-7
2-3a	Surrounding Land Uses - Western Parcel	2-11
2-3b	Surrounding Land Uses - Eastern Parcel	2-13
2-4a	Project Site Photos - Western Parcel.....	2-15
2-4b	Project Site Photos - Eastern Parcel.....	2-17
2-5a	Vesting Tentative Map (Sheet 1 of 5).....	2-19
2-5b	Vesting Tentative Map – Western Parcel (Sheet 2 of 5).....	2-21
2-5c	Vesting Tentative Map – Western Parcel (Sheet 3 of 5).....	2-23
2-5d	Vesting Tentative Map – Eastern Parcel (Sheet 4 of 5).....	2-25
2-5e	Vesting Tentative Map – Eastern Parcel (Sheet 5 of 5).....	2-27
2-6	Conceptual Winery and Related Uses	2-31
2-7	Ferrini Ranch Road Access	2-35
3.1-1a	Visual Sensitivity & Critical Viewsheds – Western Parcel	3.1-3
3.1-1b	Visual Sensitivity & Critical Viewsheds – Eastern Parcel	3.1-5
3.1-2	Viewpoints Map	3.1-23
3.1-3	Viewpoint #1-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-25
3.1-4	Viewpoint #2-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-27
3.1-5	Viewpoint #3-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-29
3.1-6	Viewpoint #4-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-31
3.1-7	Viewpoint #5-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-33
3.1-8	Viewpoint #6-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-35
3.1-9	Viewpoint #7-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-37
3.1-10	Viewpoint #8-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-39
3.1-11	Viewpoint #9-Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations	3.1-41
3.1-12	Line of Sight Analysis of Parcel D	3.1-51
3.1-13	Applicant’s Simulations - Viewpoint #2.....	3.1-55
3.1-14	Applicant’s Simulations - Viewpoint #3.....	3.1-57
3.1-15	Applicant’s Simulations - Viewpoint #7.....	3.1-59
3.1-16	Applicant’s Simulations - Viewpoint #8.....	3.1-61

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.1-17	Applicant’s Simulations - Viewpoint #9.....	3.1-63
3.1-18	Applicant’s Simulations – Entrance Road (Eastbound).....	3.1-65
3.1-19	Applicant’s Simulations – Entrance Road (Westbound).....	3.1-67
3.3-1a	Biological Communities- Western Parcel	3.3-3
3.3-1b	Biological Communities-Eastern Parcel	3.3-5
3.3-2	Riparian Area	3.3-9
3.3-3a	Wetlands & Other Waters – Western Parcel.....	3.3-11
3.3-3b	Wetlands & Other Waters – Eastern Parcel.....	3.3-13
3.5-1	Regional Geology Map.....	3.5-3
3.5-2a	Project Geologic Site Map – Western Parcel	3.5-5
3.5-2b	Project Geologic Site Map – Eastern Parcel	3.5-7
3.5-3	Regional Fault Map	3.5-11
3.5-4	Soils Map	3.5-17
3.5-5a	Slope Density Map – Western Parcel	3.5-25
3.5-5b	Slope Density Map – Eastern Parcel	3.5-27
3.6-1	Groundwater Basin Map.....	3.6-3
3.6-2a	Geosyntec Study Area in Relationship to the Project Site.....	3.6-5
3.6-2b	Subareas of the Geosyntec Study Area	3.6-7
3.6-3	Geosyntec Study Area Groundwater Flow.....	3.6-11
3.6-4	Geosyntec Study Area Geologic Cross Sections.....	3.6-13
3.6-5a	Historic Seawater Intrusion Map – 180-Foot Aquifer	3.6-19
3.6-5b	Historic Seawater Intrusion Map – 400-Foot Aquifer	3.6-21
3.6-6	Salinas Valley Water Project Zone 2C.....	3.6-23
3.7-1	U.S. Geologic Survey Watersheds within Monterey County	3.7-3
3.7-2a	Flood Zone Boundary Map.....	3.7-7
3.7-2b	Detailed Flood Zone Boundary Map.....	3.7-9
3.7-3	Drainage Watershed Map.....	3.7-19
3.8-1	Fire Hazard Area	3.8-3
3.11-1a	Noise Contours – Western Parcel	3.11-27
3.11-2b	Noise Contours - Western Parcel	3.11-29
3.11-3c	Noise Contours – Eastern Parcel	3.11-31

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.11-4d	Noise Contours – Eastern Parcel	3.11-33
3.12-1	Intersections	3.12-7
3.12-2	Access Points	3.12-43
4-1a	Alternative #3 – Western Parcel.....	4-15
4-1b	Alternative #3 – Eastern Parcel	4-17
4-1c	Alternative #3 – Version B.....	4-19
4-1d	Alternative #3 – Version B.....	4-21
4-2a	Alternative 4 Conceptual Site Plan – Western Parcel.....	4-33
4-2b	Alternative 4 Conceptual Site Plan – Eastern Parcel.....	4-35

LIST OF TABLES

S-1	Executive Summary	S-3
2-1	Project Summary	2-10
3.2-1	Common Pollutant Sources and Adverse Affects	3.2-3
3.2-2	Summary of Ambient Air Quality Data.....	3.2-4
3.2-3	NCCAB Attainment Status Designations.....	3.2-5
3.2-4	ARB’s Recommendations on Siting New Sensitive Land Uses Near Air Pollutant Sources.....	3.2-7
3.2-5	Summary of National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.2-10
3.2-6	Short-Term Construction Generated Emissions (Unmitigated)	3.2-18
3.2-7	Short-Term Construction Generated PM ₁₀ Emissions (Mitigated)	3.2-21
3.2-8	Long-Term Operational Emissions.....	3.2-22
3.2-9	Predicted Local Mobile Source Carbon Monoxide Concentrations: Background Plus Project.....	3.2-23
3.2-10	Predicted Local Mobile Source Carbon Monoxide Concentrations: Cumulative Plus Project.....	3.2-23
3.3-1	Potential Special-Status Wildlife Species	3.3-17
3.3-2	Special-Status Species with Potential to Occur on the Project Site.....	3.3-43
3.5-1	Soil Properties and Characteristics	3.5-14
3.6-1	2005 Water Quality Data for the Wells.....	3.6-31
3.6-2	Summary of Various Water Residential Consumption Rates.....	3.6-33
3.6-3	Estimated Gross Water Demand for the Proposed Project.....	3.6-35
3.6-4	Water Balance.....	3.6-38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.7-1	Summary of Drainage Watersheds and Detention Requirements	3.7-18
3.9-1	Monterey County General Plan (1982) Consistency Analysis.....	3.9-5
3.9-2	Toro Area Plan (1983) Consistency Analysis	3.9-8
3.10-1	Enrollment Data for Washington Union, Spreckels Union, and Salinas Union High School Districts (School Year 2007-2008)	3.10-3
3.10-2	2005 Water Quality Report for Spreckels Area	3.10-22
3.10-3	Estimated Wastewater Flow	3.10-25
3.11-1	Common Noise Levels Descriptors	3.11-3
3.11-2	Federal Interagency Committee on Noise Recommended Criteria for Evaluation of Increase in Ambient Noise Levels	3.11-6
3.11-3	Existing Ambient Noise Levels.....	3.11-10
3.11-4	Existing Traffic Noise Levels	3.11-10
3.11-5	1982 County General Plan Land Use Compatibility for Noise Criteria.....	3.11-12
3.11-6	Damage Potential to Buildings at Various Groundborne Vibration Levels.....	3.11-13
3.11-7	Annoyance Potential to People at Various Ground-borne Vibration Levels.....	3.11-14
3.11-8	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels.....	3.11-16
3.11-9	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels Under Background Traffic Conditions.....	3.11-25
3.11-10	Predicted Future Cumulative Traffic Noise Levels and Contours	3.11-35
3.11-11	Representative Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment.....	3.11-40
3.12-1	Level of Service Definitions	3.12-4
3.12-2	Intersection and Roadway Segments Studied.....	3.12-5
3.12-3	Intersection Level of Service for Existing Conditions	3.12-9
3.12-4	Roadway Segment Level of Service for Existing Conditions.....	3.12-10
3.12-5	Trip Generation for Approved Projects.....	3.12-17
3.12-6	Intersection Level of Service for Background Conditions	3.12-20
3.12-7	Roadway Segment Level of Service for Background Conditions	3.12-21
3.12-8	Intersection Level of Service for Background Plus Project Conditions	3.12-31
3.12-9	Summary of Intersection Thresholds of Significance Exceeded Under Background Plus Project Conditions	3.12-32
3.12-10	Roadway Segment Level of Service for Background Plus Project Conditions	3.12-35
3.12.11	Summary of Roadway Segment Thresholds of Significance Exceeded Under Background Plus Project Conditions.....	3.12-36
3.12-12	Trip Generation for Cumulative Project Conditions	3.12-49

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.12-13	Intersection Level of Service for Cumulative Project Conditions	3.12-51
3.12-14	Roadway Segment Level of Service for Cumulative Project Conditions	3.12-52
3.13-1	Greenhouse Gases	3.13-2
3.13-2	Global Warming Potential for Greenhouse Gases	3.13-3
3.13-3	Potential Statewide Impacts from Climate Change.....	3.13-4
3.13-4	Estimated Total CO ₂ Stored and Sequestered within Existing Trees	3.13-6
3.13-5	Short-term Construction Equipment Greenhouse Gas Emissions	3.13-17
3.13-6	Long-term Greenhouse Gas Emissions	3.13-18
3.13-7	Estimated Reduction in CO ₂ Sequestration Due to Tree Removal.....	3.13-19
3.13-8	CO ₂ Sequestration and Storage Upon Replanting of Trees	3.13-19
3.13-9	Recommended Actions of Climate Change Scoping Plan	3.13-20
3.13-10	AB 32 Compliance	3.13-23
3.13-11	Estimated Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions – (Metric Tons per Year)	3.13-27
4-1	Alternative 2 Potential Buildout	4-6
4-2	Comparison of Project Alternatives to the Proposed Project.....	4-42
5-1	Cumulative Projects.....	5-3