Table ES-3. Summary of Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Page 1 of 25

3.1. Aesthetics

				Proje	ct Elen	nents					
			COL	Are	а М						
n	DDI	CDI	-			RES	D.D.	TID A	INE	Cumu-	
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative	
A. Scenic Vistas and Corridors			1		I	l .	I	I		T	
AES-A1. The proposed project could have substantial adverse visual effects on public viewing in or near "visually prominent" areas identified in the LUP and along the 17-Mile Drive corridor.	0	•	0	•	•	•	-	0	ı	•	
AES-A2. The proposed roadway improvements could adversely affect views from 17-Mile Drive.	_	I	_	ı	ı	ı	•	ı	I	•	
Mitigation Measures:	s: AES-A1. Incorporate design features and landscaping requirements in design plans and specifications for all development sites that involve construction of new structures or modification of existing structures. AES-A2. Prepare and implement a landscape plan for SR 1/SR 68/17-Mile Drive intersection reconfiguration and internal roadway improvements.										
B. Visual Character/Building Scale and	Mass										
AES-B1. The proposed project could degrade the visual character and quality of some development sites (at The Inn at Spanish Bay, Area M Spyglass Hill, Residential Lot Subdivisions, and 17-Mile Drive intersections).	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	-	•	
Mitigation Measures:	AES-A	A1, AES-	A2. Se	e abov	e.						
C. Light and Glare											
AES-C1. The proposed project would introduce new sources of light and glare at development sites, which could affect nighttime views or activities in the area.					ropos	ed proje					
Mitigation Measures:	res: AES-C1. Incorporate light and glare reduction measures in design plans and specifications.										

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 2 of 25

3.2 Air Quality

				Proje	ct Elen	nents				
			COL-	Are	a M					Cumu-
Project Impact	PBL	SBI	EC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
A. Air Quality Plan Consistency										
AQ-A1. The proposed project would be consistent with the 2008 Air Quality Management Plan.		(App	lies to	propo	– sed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		0
B. Long-Term Emissions										
AQ-B1. The proposed project would result in a long-term increase in ROG, NOx, CO, and PM10 emissions due to vehicular traffic generated by development, but would not exceed air quality standards of daily emissions thresholds.		(Арр	lies to	propo	○ sed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		0
C. Construction Emissions										
AQ-C1. The proposed project would result in a short-term increase in PM10 emissions due to grading and construction.	(Applies to proposed project as a whole)									
Mitigation Measures:	AQ-C2		ment n			control (
D. Sensitive Receptors										
AQ-D1. The proposed project would result in the emission of diesel toxic air contaminants, which pose a risk to human health, from diesel truck and equipment use during construction.	•	0	•	0	0	•	•	•	•	•
Mitigation Measures:		. Imple st emis		neasuı	es to c	control	const	ructior	n-relate	ed
AQ-D2. The proposed project would expose sensitive receptors to less-than-substantial pollutant concentrations of CO from project-related traffic.	(Applies to proposed project as a whole)									
E. Odors										
AQ-E1. The proposed project would expose new sensitive receptors to objectionable odors from the Equestrian Center.	0	0	•	0	0	•	0	0	0	_
Mitigation Measures:	AQ-E1	. Prepa	re and	imple	ment a	n manur	e mai	nagem	ent pla	ın.

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 3 of 25

3.3 Biological Resources

				Proje	ct Eler	nents				
			COL-	Are	а М	RES				Cumu-
Impact Topic	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
A. Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Ar	eas									
BIO-A1. Project development would result in direct removal and indirect disturbance to ESHA areas while preserving far larger areas of ESHA.	_		•	•	•	•	•	_	•	•
Mitigation Measures:	manag area. BIO-A Found Additi	gement 2. Dedi lation f	plan, l cate co or all p itigatio	oased onserva onserva oreserv on Mea	on the ation e vation a sures	Master easemer areas.	RMP,	for ea the De	ch pres	servation e Forest re noted
B. Sensitive Habitats										
BIO-B1. Project development would result in direct disturbance and indirect impacts on Monterey pine forest (including maritime chaparral) while preserving far larger areas of Monterey pine forest (including maritime chaparral).	_	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Mitigation Measures:		1(C). D				area of u	ındev	eloped	d Monte	erey pine
BIO-B2. Project development would result in potential direct and indirect disturbance of coastal dune habitat near Areas M and L while preserving the entire remnant dune area in Area M.	_	_	_	•	•	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-B	2. Inclu	de ado	litiona	l meas					igement M and L.
BIO-B3. Project would indirectly disturb Monterey pygmy forest and other sensitive plant habitat areas and plant and wildlife species in the HHNHA due to increased trail use and adjacent residential use.	_	_	_	_	_	•	_	_	_	•

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 4 of 25

				Proje	ct Eler	nents										
			COL-	Are		RES				Cumu-						
Impact Topic	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative						
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-A	1, BIO-	42. Seε	abov	e.			1								
						ures in	the re	esourc	e mana	gement						
	plan fo	or Huck	leberr	y Hill	Natura	l Habita	at Are	a to av	oid inc	lirect						
							and ι	ıse dir	ected li	ighting at						
	the Co	rporati	on Yaı	d resi	dentia	l area.										
C. Wetlands/Waters																
BIO-C1. Project development would result																
in potential disturbance of 0.05 acre of	Į.															
wetlands/drainages and result in indirect			•	_		◉	_	_	_	•						
effects to wetlands and waters in and	Į.															
adjacent to project development areas.																
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-C	1. Avoi	d or co	mpens	sate for	r the los	ss of v	vetlan	ds and							
	implement resource management measures to maintain wetlands															
	in the preservation areas.															
	HYD-A1. Ensure on-site detention of stormwater run-off at															
	development sites and oil/grease separators at parking lots;															
									nd con	struction						
						draina										
						lrainag										
										ondition,						
						quired i	mpro	vemer	its of di	rainage						
		ood con						11								
						t a stori										
						reduce :										
						off dur ion and										
						ractices				and						
						ants to				anu						
				_	_	t an inte				rement						
						e Beach				,01110111						
D. Special-Status Plant Species	1 0							<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
BIO-D1. Project development would																
result in the direct loss of individual																
Yadon's piperia plants and habitat and										6						
indirect impacts on adjacent occupied																
piperia habitat, while preserving far																
larger areas of occupied piperia habitat.																
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-A	1, BIO-	42. See	above	e.											
						nagem	ent m	easure	s to ma	aintain						
	and er	nhance	Yadon	's pipe	ria hal	oitat.	BIO-D1. Implement resource management measures to maintain and enhance Yadon's piperia habitat.									

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 5 of 25

	Project Elements									
			COL-	Are	a M	RES				Cumu-
Impact Topic	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
BIO-D2. Project development would result in potential loss or disturbance of up to 16 Gowen cypress trees due to residential development while preserving 3.5 acres of Gowen cypress/Bishop pine pygmy forest.	_	_	_	_	_	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-A	1, BIO-	A2. See	e above	e.					
	the Hı	ıcklebe gement	rry Hil	l Natu	ral Hal		ea, an	d impl	lement	nabitat at resource ypress
BIO-D3. Project development would result in loss of one occurrence (0.2 acre) of Pacific Grove clover and indirect effects to a second occurrence.	_	_	•	-	_	•	_	—	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	Grove for thi Penin BIO-D	clover, is speci sula.	or crees with	ate or nin and	enhan other p n Villa	ce a 0.2 reserva ge occu	-acre ation a	compe area or	ensatio 1 the M	n area onterey
BIO-D4. Project development would result in direct loss and indirect impacts to Hooker's manzanita habitat while preserving larger areas of habitat.	_	_	_	—	—	0	_	—	_	0
BIO-D5. Project development could result in potential loss or disturbance of pine rose and habitat for pine rose while preserving larger areas of development.	_	_	_	_	_	•	_	_	•	•
Mitigation Measures:	res: BIO-A1, BIO-A2. See above. BIO-D5. Conduct preconstruction surveys for pine rose, implement avoidance and protection measures, if found, and conduct construction monitoring.									
BIO-D6. Project development in Area L could result in indirect effects on one occurrence of Hickman's potentilla.	_	_	_	_	_	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	res: BIO-D6. Avoid hydrological effects to the Indian Village Hickman's potentilla population and expand existing protection and management.									

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 6 of 25

				Proje	ct Elei	nents					
			COL-	Are	ea M	RES				Cumu-	
Impact Topic	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative	
BIO-D7. Trail development could result in small amounts of lost habitat for special-status plant species.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	•	_	•	
Mitigation Measures:		7. Minii onstruc	_	pecial-	status	species	habit	tat dist	turband	e during	
E. Special-Status Wildlife Species											
BIO-E1. Project construction could result in direct mortality to California redlegged frog, degradation of aquatic habitat, loss of and degradation of upland habitats, which would be partially offset by preservation of existing known occupied and suitable habitat.			_	1	_	•	_			•	
Phuguton Picasures.	s: BIO-A1, BIO-A2. See above. BIO-E1. Conduct preconstruction surveys for California red-legged frog, implement protection measures if found, and conduct construction monitoring. BIO-E2. Design new California red-legged frog breeding habitat along Seal Rock Creek in accordance with criteria to establish California red-legged frog habitat characteristics.										
BIO-E2. Development in Areas L and M could result in loss of Smith's blue butterfly host plants, while preservation of Area M dunes will preserve host plant and habitat.	_	_	_	0	0	0	_	_	_	_	
BIO-E3. Stormwater runoff from project developments during construction and operation could degrade nearshore water quality and result in indirect impacts on the southern sea otter, western snowy plover, California brown pelican and other marine resources, including the Carmel Bay Area of Special Biological Significance.		(Арг	olies to	propo	⊚ osed pi	oject as	s a wh	nole)		•	
Mitigation Measures:	GSS-C plan. GSS-D	1. Prep 1. Dew	are and	d impl ccavati	ement ions an	C2, HYI an eros id shore rking fa	sion a	nd sed oorary	iment o		

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 7 of 25

				Proje	ct Elei	nents				
			COL-	Are	a M	RES				Cumu-
Impact Topic	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
BIO-E4. Project construction and development would result in potential loss or disturbance to habitat occupied by certain non-listed special-status wildlife species while preserving large, unfragmented areas of habitat for these species.				See be	low by	specifi	c spec	cies		
Legless Lizard	_	_	_	•	•	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-E imple	5. Cond ment p	luct pr rotecti	e-cons	truction asures	on surv	d, and	condi	ıct con	d, struction
California Horned Lizard	_	_	_	0	0	0	_	_	_	0
Western Pond Turtle	_	_	_	_	_	0	_	_	_	0
Monterey Dusky-Footed Woodrat	_		_	_	_	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	wood	rat nest	ts, and	imple	ment p	ion surv protectio activiti	on me			
Pallid bat	_	_	_	_	_	•	_	_		•
Mitigation Measures:	devel		and p			igs whe				nabitat
Ringtails and Monterey Ornate Shrew	_	_	_	_	_	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-A	1, BIO-	A2, BI	O-B2. S	See abo	ove.				
F. Common Wildlife Habitat/Population	ıs/Pla	nt Com	muni	ties						1
BIO-F1. The project would remove habitat of common wildlife species and plant communities within Del Monte Forest while preserving far larger areas of habitat for common species.		(App	olies to	propo	⊚ osed pi	roject a	s a wh	iole)		•
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-A	1, BIO-	A2. Se	e abov	e.					
G. Indirect Impacts on Habitat Resulting	g from	Huma	n Use							
BIO-G1. The project would increase trail use by pedestrians and equestrians and could adversely affect common and rare wildlife and plant species within existing and proposed preservation areas.		(App	olies to	propo	⊚ osed pi	roject a	s a wh	iole)		•

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 8 of 25

	Project Elements COL. Area M RES												
			COL-	Are	a M	RES				Cumu-			
Impact Topic	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative			
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-G plan fo	1. Inclu	ide add ervatio	litiona on Area	l meas as J, K a	ures in	the re			gement trail use			
H. Wildlife Movement													
BIO-H1. The project would fragment certain existing forested habitats and could interfere with wildlife movement while preserving larger, unfragmented areas of habitat providing wildlife movement opportunities.	_				_	•				•			
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-A1. BIO-A2. See above.												
I. Wildlife Breeding and Nesting													
BIO-I1. Project construction, including tree removal and grading, could result in potential disturbance to nesting raptors, including several special-status raptor species, if present during construction.		(App	olies to	propo	⊚ osed pr	oject as	s a wh	iole)		•			
Mitigation Measures:						n and b on mea			son rap	otor			
J. Tree Removal													
BIO-J1. Project construction and development could result in removal or disturbance of native Monterey pine trees and coast live oak trees while preserving far larger areas and numbers of trees in the Del Monte Forest.	● (Applies to proposed project as a whole)												
Mitigation Measures:	BIO-A1, BIO-A2. See above. BIO-J1. Incorporate specific tree removal and replanting guid into the site-specific RMPs. BIO-J2. Protect retained trees from construction disturbance												

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 9 of 25

3.4 Climate Change

				F	roject	Eleme	nts					
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	COL- EQC	Are MH	ea M MR	RES SUB	RD	TRA	INF	Cumu- lative		
A. Contribute to Climate Change Impact	s											
CC-A1. The proposed project would result in project-related greenhouse gas emissions, during construction and from operation that could considerably contribute to climate change impacts and be inconsistent with the goals of Assembly Bill 32.			(Appli	es to រុ	propos	⊚ ed proje	ect as	a who	le)			
Mitigation Measures:	res: CC-A1. Implement best management practices for GHG emissions during construction. CC-A2-A. Reduce annual greenhouse gas emission by 26% relative to business as usual using a combination of design features, replanting, and/or offset purchases. OR											
	replanting, and/or offset purchases. OR CC-A2-B. Validate the greenhouse gas emission offset value of preserving Monterey Pine Forest designated for development using the Climate Action Registry Forest Project Protocol and preserve the lands in perpetuity.											
B. Effects of Climate Change												
CC-B1: The project would not result in significant exposure of persons or property to reasonably foreseeable impacts of climate change.			(Appli	es to ¡	propos	○ ed proje	ect as	a who	le)			

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 10 of 25

3.5 Cultural Resources

	Project Elements COL Area M RES										
			COL-	Are	a M	RES				Cumu-	
Project Impact	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative	
A. Historical Resources	ı										
CR-A1. The proposed project would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.	— (Applies to proposed project as a whole)										
B. Archaeological Resources											
CR-B1. Project grading and excavation could result in disturbance to previously undiscovered archaeological resources and cause substantial adverse change in the significance of a unique archaeological resource.											
Mitigation Measures: C. Human Remains	paleor activit CR-B2	ntologio ies. . Stop v	al reso vork if	urces buried	prior t l cultu	to groun	id-dis osits o	turbin or hum	g cons an ren	truction nains are	
CR-C1. Project grading and excavation					•						
could result in disturbance to previously undiscovered human remains.		(App	olies to	propo	•	oject as	a wh	ole)		•	
Mitigation Measures:	CR-B1	, CR-B2	. See al	oove.							
D. Paleontological Resources	<u> </u>										
CR-D1. Project grading and excavation could result in disturbance and destruction of a previously undiscovered unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.									•		
Mitigation Measures:	s: CR-B1. See above. CR-D1. Implement stop work order if vertebrate fossil mate are encountered during ground-disturbing construction act										

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 11 of 25

3.6 Geology, Seismicity, and Soils

				Proje	ct Eler	nents				
			COL-	Are	a M	RES				Cumu-
Impact Topic	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
A. Seismic Hazards										
GSS-A1. Placement of new structures could result in potential structural damage and associated human safety hazards resulting from ground shaking caused by earthquakes on nearby active and potentially active faults.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	includ		nmend	ations		constru ined in t				
B. Landslides and Slope Stability										
GSS-B1. Placement of buildings and grading on steep and/or unstable slopes could result in potential structural damage and associated human safety hazards from mass movements (landslides and debris flow).	_	_	_	•	•	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	includ		nmend	ations		constru ined in				
C. Erosion										
GSS-C1. Grading and excavation could result in substantial soil erosion, loss of topsoil, and sedimentation.		(Арр	olies to	propo	⊚ osed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		•
Mitigation Measures:	GSS-C plan.	1. Prep	are an	d imp	lement	t an ero	sion	and se	dimen	t control
D. Soils Constraints										
GSS-D1. Construction in areas of expansive soils could result in substantial damage to overlying building foundations and roadways.	_	•	•	•	•	•	•	_	—	•
GSS-D2. Construction of underground structures in the presence of shallow groundwater and weak surrounding deposits could result in inadequate drainage and structural failure during construction or operation.	•	_	_	•	•	•	_	_	_	•

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 12 of 25

				Proje	ct Eler	nents				
			COL-	Are	a M	RES				Cumu-
Impact Topic	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
GSS-D3. Construction in areas of unconsolidated fill could result in settlement and substantial damage to overlying building foundations.	_	•	_	•	•	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	includ geoted	le recon chnical	nmend report:	ations s.	conta	ined in s	site-s	pecific	geolog	gic and
	constr HYD-A develo prepa constr HYD-A faciliti maint	ruction A1. Ensu opment re final ruction A2. Main ies, and	of the care on- sites a draina detail; ntain a prepar perfor	site do nd oil ge pla and ir nd mo re ann med, a	ground etention greas on with onlitor contains and repand rea	nd shore facilities on of stoom of stoo	es. rmwa ators lculat roved and at des	ater ru at parl tions a drain flood o	n-off a king lo nd age pla control the cor	t ts; an.
E. Hazardous Materials	<u> </u>									
Impact GSS-E1. Potential hazardous materials and methane off-gassing related to materials in the fill at the Corporation Yard could result in worker and/or resident exposure to hazardous materials or hazardous conditions.	_	_	_	_	_	•		_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	soil bo Corpo GSS-E Corpo	orings a ration Y 2. Assest ration Y	nd init Yard. ss pote Yard fil	iate re ntial f l area	emedia or met and in	gation c l action hane of corpora n plans a	if wa f-gass ite me	rrante sing at ethane	d at the contro	

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 13 of 25

3.7 Hydrology and Water Quality

				Proje	ct Elen	nents				
			COL-	Are	a M	RES				Cumu-
Project Impact	PBL	SBI	EQC	МН	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
A. Alteration of Drainage Patterns										
HYD-A1. The proposed project would result in the alteration of surface drainage patterns, but would not alter the course of a stream or river in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off the site.	•	•	•	•	•	•	_	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	HYD-A1. Ensure on-site detention of stormwater run-off a development sites and oil/grease separators at parking lo prepare final drainage plan with flow calculations and construction detail, and implement approved drainage pl HYD-A2. Maintain and monitor drainage and flood contro facilities, and prepare annual reports that describe the comaintenance performed, and required improvements of and flood control facilities.									ts; nn. l ndition,
B. Stormwater Run-off and Drainage Inf	rastru	ıcture								
HYD-B1. The proposed project would result in increased stormwater run-off due to an increase in impervious surfaces and topographic alterations.	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	_	_	•
Mitigation Measures:	HYD-A	A1, HYD)-A2. Se	ee abo	ve.					
C. Water Quality										
HYD-C1. The proposed project would degrade surface water quality due to an increase in sediment and pollutant loading in stormwater drainage during construction and from operation.		(App)	lies to _l	propo	⊚ sed pro	oject as	a who	ole)		•
Mitigation Measures:	HYD-(preve contain HYD-(opera minim GSS-C plan. GSS-D	C1. Preportion plus minants C2. Provioual builder the 1. Preport. Dewitten C1. Preportion C1. Dewitten C1. De	pare an lan to p s in sto vide reg pest ma discha are and	d imporever rmwa gular i nagen irge of d impl	lement at and a ter run nspect nent pa pollut ement ons an	t a storm reduce s i-off dur ion and ractices ants to s an eros d shore I facilitic	edim ring co main to ens surfaction ar	ents and onstructenand sure fuce water and sedi	nd ction. ce of inction er. iment	control

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 14 of 25

				Proje	ct Elen	nents				
			COL-	Are	ea M	RES				Cumu-
Project Impact	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
HYD-C2. The proposed project could degrade water quality due to pesticide, herbicide, and fertilizer use from the Pebble Beach Driving Range Relocation from Area V to Collins Field.			•				_	_		•
Mitigation Measures:						an inte e Beach				gement

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 15 of 25

3.8 Land Use and Recreation

				Proje	ct Elen	nents				
	PBL	SBI	COL-	Are	ea M	RES	RD	TRA	INF	Cumu-
Project Impacts	I DL	301	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	ΚD	IIIA	1111	lative
A. Land Use Compatibility										
LU-A1. The proposed project could introduce new land uses that could be incompatible with surrounding land uses or with the general character of the area.	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0		_
Mitigation Measures:	AQ-E1	. Prepa	re and	imple	ment a	ı manur	e mar	nagem	ent pla	n.
B. Plan/Policy Consistency										
LU-B1. While the project is inconsistent with the existing LCP, the proposed project is consistent with the proposed LCP Amendment which is consistent with the Coastal Act and which would need to be approved prior to any project approval.		O (Applies to proposed project as a whole)								
C. Recreational Demand										
LU-C1. The proposed project would add new recreation trails and would increase the use of existing parks and recreation facilities, but would not require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities not included in the proposed project that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment.	0	0	0	0	0	0			_	_
D. Open Space Quality and Quantity										
LU-D1. The proposed project would not diminish the quality and quantity of open space used for recreation	_	_	_	_	_	0	_	_	_	_

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 16 of 25

3.9 Noise

				Proje	ct Eler	nents				
			COL-	Arc	ea M	RES				Cumul-
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	ative
A. Permanent Increase in Noise due to F	roject	t Opera	tions							
NOI-A1. The proposed project could result in exposure of persons to noise levels in excess of standards established in the County's Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise chart from operation of ventilation fans for underground parking structure at The Lodge at Pebble Beach, but not from operation of other project elements.	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
Mitigation Measures:	s: NOI-A1. Employ noise-reducing treatments on parking structure fan systems.									
B. Short-Term Noise Increases due to Co	1 -									
NOI-B1. The proposed project would result in exposure of outdoor activity areas of noise-sensitive land uses to construction noise greater than 85 dB at a distance of 50 feet during construction.		(Арр	olies to	propo	⊚ osed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		•
Mitigation Measures:	NOI-B practi NOI-B constr NOI-B NOI-B constr NOI-B imple constr NOI-B reduc uses t	2. Loca cable. 3. Use suction 4. Shiel 5. Shut 6. Use suction. 7. Dissoment a cuction. 8. Implee expos	sound- equiprid/shrooff mashortes eminatecomplate ement sure of ined co	controment. coud ar chine st prace e esse aint re additt outdo onstru	at as fair ol device my impary whe cticable ential ir esponse ional mor acti	r from n ces on concettools n not in e traveli nformat e/tracki	oise-sombu used use d ion to ng pr n mea	sensitives stion-particular during luring utes du reside ogram asures, noise-s	g cons constr iring ences a durin as necensitiv	truction. uction. and g eded, to ve land

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 17 of 25

	Project Elements COL Area M DES									
			COL-	Are	ea M	RES				Cumul-
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	ative
C. Construction-Related Vibration										
NOI-C1. The proposed project could result in exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels during construction at The Lodge at Pebble Beach and Area M Spyglass Hill Option 1 (New Resort Hotel).	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Mitigation Measures:	specif such s and if	ied time chedule vibratie	es, pro es, and on test	vide a temp ing de	dvance orarily monst	ities than notice relocat rates the othresh	to adj e resi at lev	acent : dents i	reside if requ	nts of ested

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 18 of 25

3.10 Public Services and Utilities

				Proje	ct Elen	nents				
			COL-	Are	ea M	RES				Cumu-
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
A. Police and Fire Protection										
PSU-A1. The proposed project would increase demand for fire and first-responder emergency medical services.	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	_	_	0
PSU-A2. The proposed project would increase demand for police services.	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	_	_	0
B. Emergency Access										
PSU-B1. The proposed project could interfere with emergency access routes to open space areas and an adopted emergency access plan during construction.										
C. Wildland Fire Hazard										
PSU-C1. The proposed project could expose people and structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires.	(Applies to proposed project as a whole)									
Mitigation Measures:	mainto PSU-C seasor areas. PSU-C	enance 2. Implo 1 when	in high ement perfor ove wa	i-risk i fire sa ming i	fire are Ifety pr mainte	eas. recautio nance o	ns du n nat	ring th ural op	e decl	ared fire ace to ensure
D. Schools										
PSU-D1. The proposed project could result in increased student enrollments.	0 0									
E. Wastewater Collection and Treatmen	ent									
PSU-E1. The proposed project could result in increased wastewater treatment requirements.		(App	lies to	propo	osed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		0
PSU-E2. The proposed project could increase need for sewer lines and wastewater treatment facility.	(Applies to proposed project as a whole)									0

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 19 of 25

	Project Elements									
Project Impacts	PBL SBI COL- Area M RES RD TRA INF							Cumu- lative		
F. Utility Disruption										
PSU-F1. The proposed project could result in utility service disruptions during construction.		(Applies to proposed project as a whole)								
Mitigation Measures:	and re		gencies			ropriato ervice ir				
G. Solid Waste										
PSU-G1. The proposed project would increase solid waste, green waste, and recycling disposal needs.	(Applies to proposed project as a whole)								0	

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 20 of 25

3.11 Transportation

]	Project Ele	ments						
			COL-	Area M	RES				Cumu-		
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative		
A. Traffic during Project Construction	ı										
TRA-A1. Construction traffic would result in short-term increases in traffic volumes that would affect level of service and intersection operations.		(Арр	olies to	● proposed p	oroject as	s a wh	ole)		•		
Mitigation Measures:		TRA-A1. Schedule construction work and truck trips to comwith Del Monte Forest Architectural Board Guidelines.									
	TRA-A	2. Deve	lop and	d impleme	ıt a traffi	c cont	rol pla	n.			
	Monte	rey Cou	ınty an	oval for co d include t SR 1/68/1	nese rout	tes in	all con	tracts.	tes from		
				in the ove							
B. Del Monte Forest Gates											
TRA-B1. The project would result in a				0							
minor increase in traffic at the Del Monte Forest gates.		(App	lies to	proposed p	oroject as	a wh	ole)		0		
C. Impacts to Roadway Intersections an	d Segn	nents									
TRA-C1. The proposed project would add substantial traffic to intersections in Del Monte Forest and the immediate vicinity to decrease from acceptable levels of service to unacceptable levels or to worsen existing unacceptable levels of service.		(Арр	olies to	● proposed p	oroject as	s a wh	ole)		•		
Mitigation Measures:	TRA-C1. Pay fair-share contribution to install a traffic signal intersection of SR 68/Skyline Forest Drive and widen SR 68 two to four lanes through the intersection. TRA-C2. Pay fair-share contribution to construct the full SR 6 Widening Project. TRA-C3. Pay fair-share contribution to construct new turn la and establish new traffic signal timings at the SR 1/Ocean Avintersection. TRA-C6(C). Pay fair-share contribution to restripe the westb approach at the Sunset Drive/Congress Avenue intersection provide a left-turn pocket. TRA-C7(C). Pay fair-share contribution to optimize signal timand phasing at the Forest Avenue/David Avenue intersection TRA-C8(C). Pay fair-share contribution to construct the full SWidening Project (as required by TRA-C2) and to add third I and to construct a third eastbound lane on SR 68 from east of								8 from R 68 lanes Avenue tbound n to imings on. I SR 68		

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 21 of 25

]	Proje	ct Elen	nents				
			COL-	Ar	ea M	RES				Cumu-
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
	interse and tw TRA-C on SR interse TRA-C	ection, vo lanes 9(C). Pa 68 for t ection. 10(C). I	with on s proce ay fair- raffic t Pay fair	eding share urnin	e going across contril g left or	the SR oution t at of the	SR 1 so 68 ov to con e Agua	outhbo ercross struct a ajito Ro	ound of sing. a refug oad	n-ramp
TRA-C2. The project would add traffic to regional highway sections that are projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service.	(Applies to proposed project as a whole)									
Mitigation Measures:	TRA-C4. Pay fair-share traffic impact fee for various improvem to SR 1, SR 68, and SR 156 based on the conditions described in Transportation Agency of Monterey County's Regional Development Impact Fee Program.									
TRA-C3. The project would add traffic to a highway ramp projected to operate at an unacceptable level of service.	(Applies to proposed project as a whole)									
Mitigation Measures:	northb		nerge a	t SR 6	68 (wes	t) with				etween
D. Access and Circulation										
TRA-D1. The project would create new roadways that do not meet the design criteria established in the Del Monte Forest Transportation Policy Agreement, substantially increase hazards because of roadway design or internal circulation patterns, or result in inadequate emergency access.		(App	olies to	propo	⊚ osed pr	oject as	s a wh	ole)		_
Mitigation Measures:	Transportation Policy Agreement. TRA-D2. Incorporate a 25-foot transition between all driveways and roadways that has no more than a 2% grade. TRA-D3. At The Lodge at Pebble Beach, add a crosswalk to addres a pedestrian desire line (i.e., places pedestrians will walk) crossing the circulation road. TRA-D4. At The Lodge at Pebble Beach, modify the design of the two traffic circles to facilitate efficient vehicle flow. TRA-D5. At The Lodge at Pebble Beach, install yield signs to contrate three-leg traffic circle while the other traffic circle should have no vehicle traffic controls.									address crossing of the

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 22 of 25

	Project Elements COL Area M RES										
			COL-	Are	ea M	RES				Cumu-	
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative	
1 Toject impacts	TRA-D6. At The Lodge at Pebble Beach, add sidewalks or paserve pedestrian movements between the Fairway One Con Peter Hay Golf Course, and The Lodge at Pebble Beach. TRA-D7. At the Colton Building, improve sight distance at the intersection between the existing driveway and Cypress Dri TRA-D8. At the Colton Building, install a warning sign or lighthe entry to the parking facility, or widen the opening to at lifeet. TRA-D9. At The Inn at Spanish Bay, modify the 17-Mile Drive/Congress Road intersection to an all-way stop-control intersection, installing stop signs at all approaches. TRA-D10. At the Pebble Beach Links Driving Range, add a pedestrian crosswalk that connects the driving range to the Hay Golf Course.										
E Bayling	Hay Go	olf Cour	se.								
E. Parking TRA-E1. Project land uses would create a need for additional parking.	0 0 0 - 0										
F. Special Events							ı				
TRA-F1. The project could change traffic volumes at Del Monte Forest gates during special events.		(App	olies to	propo	O sed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		_	
TRA-F2. The project could change traffic volumes on internal roads during special events.		(App	olies to	propo	osed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		_	
TRA-F3. The project could change parking conditions during special events.		(App	lies to	propo	osed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		_	
G. Transit and Alternative Transportati	on										
TRA-G1. The project would be inconsistent, in part, with Del Monte Forest Land Use Plan alternative transportation policies and Monterey County trip reduction requirements.	(Applies to proposed project as a whole)								_		
Mitigation Measures:	plan, e visitor TRA-G incorp	mphasi , reside 2. Expa	izing spent, and and the he Spys	pecific l empl existi glass I	trip re oyee u ng shu Iotel as	eduction ses. ttle and s part o	n mea: l valet	sures for	or pro	posed	

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 23 of 25

	Project Elements									
			COL-		ea M	RES				Cumu-
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	MH	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
H. Bicycles and Trails										
TRA-H1. The project would introduce additional traffic along 17-Mile Drive between Spanish Bay Drive and the Pacific Grove Gate, which could compromise the effectiveness of existing bicycle signage.		(App	olies to	propo	● osed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		l
Mitigation Measures:	design		ute for	bicyc	ling be	tween t				ate and
TRA-H2. The project would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting trails.		(App	olies to	propo	osed pr	oject as	a wh	ole)		

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 24 of 25

3.12 Water Supply and Demand

]	Proje	ct Elen	nents				
			COL-	Ar	ea M	RES				Cumu-
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	EQC	МН	MR	SUB	RD	TRA	INF	lative
A. Water Supply and Demand										
WSD-A1. The project's water demand would represent an increase in water use above the 2011 Existing Conditions, but would be within the Applicant's current entitlement and could be legally supplied by Cal-Am through 2016. However, given the current uncertain nature of regional water supplies, the additional project water demand could intensify water supply shortfalls and rationing starting in 2017, if the Regional Project (or its equivalent) is not built by then.			(Applid	es to j	● project	as a wh	ole)			•
Mitigation Measures: B. Water Infrastructure Capacity	be disp Applic Applic	oroport ant's pr ant's us	ionate rior fina se of wa	to the ancing ater fo	e impac g of the or this p	t of pro Recycle	posed ed Wa is pur	l projed iter Pro suant t	ct give oject. T o a va	n ſhe lid, legal
WSD-B1. Local water infrastructure is included to serve the proposed project, and existing supply infrastructure outside the project area is adequate to serve the project through 2016. The Regional Project (or its equivalent) will need to be built by 2017 to serve existing demand and the increase in demand from the project; regional water supply infrastructure and operations will have secondary environmental impacts.			(Appli	es to լ	● project	as a wh	ole)			•
Mitigation Measures:	be disp Applic Water pursua	proport ant's pr Project	ionate rior fina The A valid, l	to the ancing applicates egal v	e impac g of the ant's us	e any ad et of pro infrast se of wa ntitlemo	posed ructui ter fo	l projed re for tl r this p	ct give he Rec roject	n cycled : is

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.

Table ES-3. Continued Page 25 of 25

	Project Elements									
Project Impacts	PBL	SBI	COL- EQC	Are MH	ea M MR	RES SUB	RD	TRA	INF	Cumu- lative
C. Carmel River Biological Resources										
WSD-C1. The project's water demand would result in increased withdrawals from the Carmel River through 2016 and thus would have a significant and unavoidable impact on Carmel River biological resources. After 2017, SWRCB mandated reductions in Cal-Am withdrawals from the Carmel River will not be changed by the project demand.			(Appli	es to p	● project	as a wh	ole)			•

Notes:

- = Significant unavoidable impact. = Significant impact that can be reduced to less than significant.
- = Less-than-significant impact. = No impact or not applicable to the development site.