Appendix D
Carbon Monoxide Modeling

Dispersion Modeling

Predicting the ambient air quality impacts of pollutant emissions requires an assessment of the transport, dispersion, chemical transformation, and removal processes that affect pollutant emissions after their release from a source. Gaussian dispersion models are frequently used for such analyses. The term "Gaussian dispersion" refers to a general type of mathematical equation used to describe the horizontal and vertical distribution of pollutants downwind from an emission source.

Gaussian dispersion models treat pollutant emissions as being carried downwind in a defined plume, subject to horizontal and vertical mixing with the surrounding atmosphere. The plume spreads horizontally and vertically with a reduction in pollutant concentrations as it travels downwind. Mixing with the surrounding atmosphere is greatest at the edge of the plume, resulting in lower pollutant concentrations outward (horizontally and vertically) from the center of the plume. This decrease in concentration outward from the center of the plume is treated as following a Gaussian ("normal") statistical distribution. Horizontal and vertical mixing generally occur at different rates. Because turbulent motions in the atmosphere occur on a variety of spatial and time scales, vertical and horizontal mixing also vary with distance downwind from the emission source.

The CALINE4 Model

The ambient air quality effects of traffic emissions were evaluated using the CALINE4 dispersion model (Benson 1989). CALINE4 is a Gaussian dispersion model specifically designed to evaluate air quality impacts of roadway projects. Each roadway link analyzed in the model is treated as a sequence of short segments. Each segment of a roadway link is treated as a separate emission source producing a plume of pollutants which disperses downwind. Pollutant concentrations at any specific location are calculated using the total contribution from overlapping pollution plumes originating from the sequence of roadway segments.

When winds are essentially parallel to a roadway link, pollution plumes from all roadway segments overlap. This produces high concentrations near the roadway (near the center of the overlapping pollution plumes), and low concentrations well away from the roadway (at the edges of the overlapping pollution plumes). When winds are at an angle to the roadway link, pollution plumes from distant roadway segments make essentially no contribution to the pollution concentration observed at a receptor location. Under such cross-wind situations, pollutant concentrations near the highway are lower than under parallel wind conditions (fewer overlapping plume contributions), while pollutant concentrations away from the highway may be greater than would occur with parallel winds (near the center of at least some pollution plumes).

The CALINE4 model employs a "mixing cell" approach to estimating pollutant concentrations over the roadway itself. The size of the mixing cell over each roadway segment is based on the width of the traffic lanes of the highway (generally 12 feet per lane) plus an additional turbulence zone on either side (generally 10 feet on each side). Parking lanes and roadway shoulders are not counted as traffic lanes. The height of the mixing cell is calculated by the model.

Pollutants emitted along a highway link are treated as being well mixed within the mixing cell volume due to mechanical turbulence from moving vehicles and convective mixing due to the temperature of vehicle exhaust gases. Pollutant concentrations downwind from the mixing cell are calculated using horizontal and vertical dispersion rates which are a function of various meteorological and ground surface conditions.

Modeling Procedures

Roadway and Traffic Conditions

Traffic volumes and operating conditions used in the modeling were obtained from the traffic analysis prepared for this project by DKS Associates (2007). CO emissions were modeled for existing year (2005), 2030 No project, 2030 Project Alternative, 2030 Alternative A, and 2030 Alternative B conditions. Free flow traffic speeds were adjusted to a speed of 1.0 miles per hour (mph) for vehicles entering intersection segments, and 10 mph for vehicles exiting intersection segments to represent a worst-case scenario. CO modeling was conducted at the Highway One & Carmel Valley Road, Carmel Rancho Boulevard & Carmel Valley Road, Highway One & Rio Road, Crossroads Driveway & Rio Road, Laureles Grade & Carmel Valley Road intersections, as they represent intersections with the worst LOS and delay and highest traffic volumes of any intersections analyzed in the project area.

Vehicle Emission Rates. Vehicle emission rates were determined using the California Air Resources Board's EMFAC2007 (version 2.3) emission rate program. EMFAC2007 modeling procedures followed the guidelines recommended by Caltrans (California Department of Transportation 2003). The program assumed Monterey County regional traffic data operating during the winter months. A mean January temperature of 43 degrees Fahrenheit and humidity of 30% were assumed.

Receptor Locations. CO concentrations were estimated at 4 receptor locations located at each of the intersections analyzed, for a total of 28 receptors. The receptors were placed 35.4 feet from the center of each intersection diagonal, 25 feet from the roadway centerline, and 3 feet from the boundary of the mixing zone to represent a worst-case scenario. Receptor heights were set at 5.9 feet.

Meteorological Conditions. Meteorological inputs to the CALINE4 model were determined using methodology recommended in Air Quality Technical Analysis

Notes (California Department of Transportation 1988). The meteorological conditions used in the modeling represent a calm winter period. Worst-case wind angles were modeled to determine a worst-case concentration for each receptor. The meteorological inputs include: 0.5 meters per second wind speed, ground-level temperature inversion (atmospheric stability class G), wind direction standard deviation equal to 10 degrees, ambient temperature of 2.8 degrees centigrade, and a mixing height of 1,000 meters.

Background Concentrations and Eight-Hour Values. To account for sources of CO not included in the modeling, a background concentration of 2.5 ppm was added to the modeled cumulative 1-hour values, while a background concentration of 1.2 ppm was added to the modeled cumulative 8-hour values. Background concentration data for 1- and 8-hour values were obtained from the EPA's Air Data webpage (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2006) for the Salinas air monitoring station, based on guidance provided by the MBUAPCD (2004). Maximum 1- and 8-hour values for the years 2004-2006 were averaged to obtain a background concentration. Eight-hour modeled values were calculated from the 1-hour values using a persistence factor of 0.6. Background concentrations for future year (2030) conditions were assumed to be the same as those for the current year. Actual 1- and 8-hour background concentrations in future years would likely be lower than those used in the CO modeling analysis because the trend in CO emissions and concentrations is decreasing because of continuing improvements in engine technology and the retirement of older, higher-emitting vehicles.

References

Benson, P. E. 1989. CALINE4—a dispersion model for predicting air pollution concentrations near roadways. California Department of Transportation. Sacramento, CA.

California Department of Transportation. 1988. Air Quality Technical Analysis Notes. Sacramento, CA.

California Department of Transportation. 2003. Draft Use of EMFAC 2002 to Replace CT-EMFAC: A Users Guide. February 27.

DKS Associates. 2007. Carmel Valley Master Plan: Draft Report. July 27. Oakland, CA. Prepared for The County of Monterey, Oakland, CA.

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District. 2004. CEQA Air Quality Guidelines. June 2004. Monterey, CA.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2006. Air Data. Last Revised: March 2, 2007. Available: <http://www.epa.gov/air/data/reports.html>. Accessed: March 8, 2007.